

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,856	10/19/2001		Johan Paul Marie Gerard Linnartz	PHNL 000584	4954
24737	7590	06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN		CTUAL PROPE	REAGAN, JAMES A		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		3621	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astis a Octobring	10/082,856	LINNARTZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James A. Reagan	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2005.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

M

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is in response to the amendment and RCE filed on 10 May 2005.

2. Claims 1, 7, and 8 have been amended.

3. Claims 1-10 have been examined.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

4. Applicant's arguments received on have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Referring to the previous Office action, Examiner has cited relevant portions of the references as

a means to illustrate the systems as taught by the prior art. As a means of providing further

clarification as to what is taught by the references used in the first Office action, Examiner has

expanded the teachings for comprehensibility while maintaining the same grounds of rejection of

the claims, except as noted above in the section labeled "Status of Claims." This information is

intended to assist in illuminating the teachings of the references while providing evidence that

establishes further support for the rejections of the claims.

. Applicant has presented arguments with respect to the utilization of the Applicant's own

admissions, asserting that since the disclosure by the Applicant is found in the Summary of the

Invention section, the sections quoted by the Examiner do not constitute background material that

may be used as a rejection of the claims. However, the Applicant states that watermarking is a

well-known technique for marking a digital signal, such as audio and video (see at least

paragraphs 0008-0010). The clear admission by the Applicant is therefore taken as matters

known in the art at the time of the invention.

Previous Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 § U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. The Examiner hereby withdraws the rejections under 35 § U.S.C. 101 for lacking a technicological element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik et al. (US 2001/0008557 A1) in view of Applicant's own admissions.

Claims 1-10:

Stefik discloses the limitations of claims 1-10, with the exception of a specific reference to a mobile phone. Applicant, however, in paragraphs 0008 to 0010 discloses that watermarks are a well known technique, and then goes on to describe uses of the technique to include an audio-based signal from a mobile phone. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Stefik with the Applicant's disclosure of watermarking and mobile phone use because Stefik discloses utilizing a watermarking technique during the distribution of digital files on a computer network.

Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **571.272.6710.** The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at **571.272.6712**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703.305.7687 [Official communications, After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

703.308.1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

JAR

14 May 2005

Jestly